



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/673,532	2 11/27/2000		Tetsujiro Kondo	450101-02344	7536	
20999	7590	03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
FROMMER 745 FIFTH A		ENCE & HAUG	TRAN, THAI Q			
NEW YORK, NY 10151				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2600		

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	<b></b>	09/673,532	KONDO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Thai Tran	2616					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided part of the pr	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a b. criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO catute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of the standard standard (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	5 November 2005	•					
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		·					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27,37-42,49-57 and 64-75</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	· · · ——							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
•	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col		, ,	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
	application from the International Bu		n received in this National	i Stage				
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , ,	t received					
·	see the diagoned detailed office action for a	nst of the certified copies no	rreceived.					
Attachmen	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		(s)/Mail Date  Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
	or No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _		<b>-</b> ,				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27, 37-42, and 49-57, and 64-75 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7, 10-14, 16, 37-40, 49-54, and 64-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al (US 5,469,216).

Regarding claim 1, Takahashi et al discloses an image-signal processing apparatus (Fig. 1) for processing an input image signal at a position of each pixel, said input image signal having any one of various color components, said apparatus comprising:

extraction means (class code circuit 3 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44 to col. 4, line 10) for extracting a plurality of pixels located near each pixel of interest of the input image signal;

class-determining means (class code circuit 3 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44 to col. 4, line 10) for determining a class from the pixels extracted by the extraction means; and pixel-generating means (predicting circuit 5 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 33-42) for generating a pixel at a position of the pixel of interest in accordance with the class

Art Unit: 2616

determined by the class-determining means, said pixel having a color component different from at least the color component of the pixel of interest,

wherein the plurality of pixels extracted by the extraction means and used by the class determining means includes at least one pixel that is not adjacent to the pixel of interest (col. 3, lines 44-60 and col. 4, lines 50-63).

Regarding claim 2, Takahashi et al discloses the claimed characterized in that the pixel-generating means generates a pixel having all color components at the position of the pixel of interest (col. 4, lines 50-63).

Regarding claim 3, Takahashi et al discloses the claimed characterized in that the pixel-generating means comprises storage means for storing a set of prediction coefficients for each class and operation means for performing an operation on a set of prediction coefficients which corresponds to the class determined by the class-determining means and the pixels located near the pixel of interest which have been extracted by the extraction means, thereby to generate a pixel having a color component different form at least the color component of the pixel of interest (coefficient memory 4 of Fig. 1, col. 4, line 64 to col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim 4, Takahashi et al discloses the claimed characterized in that the operation means performs an operation on a linear combination of the set of prediction coefficients and the values of the pixels located near the pixel of interest (col. 5, line 60 to col. 6, line 58).

Regarding claim 5, Takahashi et al discloses the claimed characterized in that the extraction means extracts at least one different pixel and supplies the same to the

class-determining means and the operation means (block segmenting circuit 2 and class code circuit 3 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 7, Takahashi et al discloses the claimed characterized by further comprising acquisition means for acquiring an image signal having a pixel at each pixel position, said pixel having one of various color components (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 44-60).

Method claims 10-14 and 16 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in apparatus claims 1-5 and 7 above.

Regarding claim 37, Takahashi et al discloses an image-signal processing apparatus for processing an input image signal, said input image signal having a prescribed number of sample values which constitute one image and each of which represents any one of various colors at each pixel, said apparatus comprising:

extraction means (class code circuit 3 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44 to col. 4, line 10) for extracting a plurality of pixels located near each pixel of interest of the input image signal;

class-determining means (class code circuit 3 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44 to col. 4, line 10) for determining a class from the pixels extracted by the extraction means; and output image-signal generating means (predicting circuit 5 of Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 32-44 and col. 3, lines 33-42) for generating an output image signal having more sample values than the prescribed number, for the various colors, by processing each pixel of the input image signal in accordance with the class determined by the class-determining means,

Art Unit: 2616

wherein the plurality of pixels extracted by the extraction means and used by the class determining means includes at least one pixel that is not adjacent to the pixel of interest (col. 3, lines 44-60 and col. 4, lines 50-63).

Regarding claim 38, Takahashi et al discloses the claimed characterized in that the output image-signal generating means comprises storage means for storing a set of prediction coefficients for each class and operation means for performing an operation on a set of prediction coefficients which corresponds to the class determined by the class-determining means and the pixels located near the pixel of interest which have been extracted by the extraction means, thereby to generate the output image signal (coefficient memory 4 of Fig. 1, col. 4, line 64 to col. 5, line 40).

Method claims 39-40 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in apparatus claims 37-38 above.

Regarding claim 49, Takahashi et al discloses an image-signal processing apparatus (Fig. 1) for processing an input image signal at a position of each pixel, said input image signal having any one of various color components, said apparatus comprising:

extraction means (class code circuit 3 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44 to col. 4, line 10) for extracting a plurality of pixels located near each pixel of interest of the input image signal, each pixel having a color component of the highest density of all color components;

class-determining means (class code circuit 3 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44 to col. 4, line 10) for determining a class from the pixels extracted by the extraction means; and

Page 6

pixel-generating means (predicting circuit 5 of Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 32-44 and col. 3, lines 33-42) for generating a pixel at a position of the pixel of interest in accordance with the class determined by the class-determining means, said pixel having a color component different from at least the color component of the pixel of interest,

wherein the plurality of pixels extracted by the extraction means and used by the class determining means includes at least one pixel that is not adjacent to the pixel of interest (col. 3, lines 44-60 and col. 4, lines 50-63).

Regarding claim 50, Takahashi et al discloses the claimed characterized in that the pixel-generating means comprises storage means for storing a set of prediction coefficients for each class and operation means for performing an operation on a set of prediction coefficients which corresponds to the class determined by the class-determining means and the pixels located near the pixel of interest which have been extracted by the extraction means, thereby to generate a pixel having the different color component (coefficient memory 4 of Fig. 1, col. 4, line 64 to col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim 51, Takahashi et al discloses the claimed characterized in that the pixel-generating means generates a pixel having all color components at the position of the pixel of interest (col. 4, lines 50-63).

Method claims 52-54 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in apparatus claims.

Regarding claim 64, Takahashi et al discloses an image-signal processing apparatus (Fig. 1) for processing an input image signal at a position of each pixel, said

Art Unit: 2616

input image signal having any one of various color components, said apparatus comprising:

extraction means (class code circuit 3 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44 to col. 4, line 10) for extracting a plurality of pixels located near each pixel of interest of the input image signal;

class-determining means (class code circuit 3 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44 to col. 4, line 10) including a characteristic-data generating section for generating characteristic data about the pixels of each color component, from the pixels of each color component which have been extracted by the extraction means, and a class-determining section for determining a class from the characteristic data generated for each color component; and

pixel-generating means (predicting circuit 5 of Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 32-44 and col. 3, lines 33-42) for generating a pixel in accordance with the class determined by the class-determining means, said pixel having a color component different from at least the color component of the pixel of interest,

wherein the plurality of pixels extracted by the extraction means and used by the class determining means includes at least one pixel that is not adjacent to the pixel of interest (col. 3, lines 44-60 and col. 4, lines 50-63).

Regarding claim 65, Takahashi et al discloses the claimed characterized in that the characteristic-data generating section generates, as the characteristic data, a space activity of the pixels of each color component, which have been extracted by the extraction means (class code circuit 3 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44 to col. 4, line 10).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 66, Takahashi et al discloses the claimed characterized in that the characteristic-data generating section generates the space activity by performing of ADRC (Adaptive Dynamic Range Coding) process on the pixels of each color component (col. 1, lines 39-50).

Regarding claim 67, Takahashi et al discloses the claimed characterized in that the extraction means extracts the pixels corresponding to each color component from pixels existing in a region near the pixel of interest (class code circuit 3 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 44 to col. 4, line 10).

Method claims 68-71 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in apparatus claims 64-67 above.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2616

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 19-23, 25, 41-42, 55-57, and 72-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al (US 5,469,216).

Regarding claim 19, Takahashi et al discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above except for providing a recording medium storing a computer program.

It is noted that using microprocessor with ROM for processing video signal is old and well known in the art and; therefore, Official Notice is taken.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the well known microprocessor and ROM into Takahashi et al's system in order to simplify and accurately process the video signal.

Claims 20-23 and 25 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 2-5 and 7 above.

Regarding claim 41, Takahashi et al discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 39 above except for providing a recording medium storing a computer program.

It is noted that using microprocessor with ROM for processing video signal is old and well known in the art and; therefore, Official Notice is taken.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the well known microprocessor and ROM into Takahashi et al's system in order to simplify and accurately process the video signal.

Art Unit: 2616

Claim 42 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 40 above.

Regarding claim 55, Takahashi et al discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 52 above except for providing a recording medium storing a computer program.

It is noted that using microprocessor with ROM for processing video signal is old and well known in the art and; therefore, Official Notice is taken.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the well known microprocessor and ROM into Takahashi et al's system in order to simplify and accurately process the video signal.

Claims 56-57 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 53-54 above.

Regarding claim 72, Takahashi et al discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 64 above except for providing a recording medium storing a computer program.

It is noted that using microprocessor with ROM for processing video signal is old and well known in the art and; therefore, Official Notice is taken.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the well known microprocessor and ROM into Takahashi et al's system in order to simplify and accurately process the video signal.

Claims 73-75 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 65-67 above.

6. Claims 6, 8-9, 15, 17-18, 24 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al (US 5,469,216) in view of Kondo et al (US 5,748,235).

Regarding claim 6, Takahashi et al discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above except for providing the claimed characterized in that the color component represent a color of red, blue, or green.

Kondo et al teaches that the capability of converting standard definition to high definition can be applied to color image generated from CCD (col. 4, lines 30-44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the generating of color image generated from CCD as taught by Kondo et al into Takahashi et al's system in order to convert color image to high definition.

Regarding claim 8, Kondo et al discloses the claimed characterized in that the acquisition means is a solid-state imaging element (col. 4, lines 30-44).

Regarding claim 9, the proposed Takahashi et al and Kondo et al discloses all the claimed limitations except for providing the claimed characterized in that the solid-state imaging element is a CCD image sensor of the Bayer arrangement.

It is noted that the CCD image sensor of the Bayer arrangement is old and well known in the art and; therefore, Official Notice is taken.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the well known CCD image sensor of the Bayer arrangement into Kondo et al's system since it merely amounts to selecting readily available CCD.

Claims 15 and 17-18 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 6 and 8-9 above.

Claims 24 and 26-27 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 6 and 8-9 above.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7382. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTQ